EzRA pound Chants were uttered or mumbled by the ball gazers on occasion. However, only the ball gazer in the swamp-village consistently chanted quietly before the client. The ball gazers chanted, it seemed, at random. I have included seven translated chants. (a) There is a chant for help on predicting with precision. (b) There is a chant to avoid bad and evil ball readings. (c) There is a chant for men's virility. (e) There is a chant for a woman's fertility. (f) There is a chant for wealth and prosperity. (g) There is a chant for future intellectual enhancements. The chants are spontaneously uttered at any time during the interpretation, or not at all.

So one goes like:

Silver out of my mouth
Turns my mouth to silver
My silver mouth says
Words of silver.

The idea is to use syllabification and reduce the utterances to a squashed sonic but without losing the meaning. He chant was also directed at the client. It was a very careful vocal projection that was fascinating to listen to. If you did utter the chants then you knew quite well what to expect, so don’t ask for anything useless.

The other one of no use changes coal into silver, which is of no use if there is nothing to write with. It was utter nonsense but made me think about the change of matter into other forms. And obviously it is quite true as I now know that if you change coal into silver you will die of pneumonia if you live in cold climates. It took me about an hour to realize that there was no coal on my desk after I uttered the chant. But that was later on. The chant book was written but that is nothing to do with the crystal ball other than they uttered very tight syllablations, which made me think of James Joyce’s Chamber Music, which are something like the target chant. I suppose when they do use chants they may hypnotize the clients ear, its not what you say but the sounds it makes but actually sound transforms matter. The curiosity was highly interesting, but I had no time to question whether the anyway don’t turn coal into silver. Yes pounds way of writing stanzas copied Eshakespeare’s so the last two lines hooked the next stanza but convolutedly reinserted the meaning of the previous two sentences. Bt this was long ago, and when asked I say don’t turn coal into silver by accident. The chant book was destroyed but the reasoning behind the chants worked well. Perhaps I can do better:

Silver coins
silver words
changing
silver coins
to coal.
or something like that.

the master diagram clearly is what we need to get on with. now draw a line and divide it into 8 parts

part 1 call this A = certain / possible / actual / did / will 100%
pert 2 call this B = probable / possible / actually probable / probably / shall / likely 75%
pert 3 call this C = ought / can / could 50%
pert 4 call this D = possible / may / immediate future 25%
pert 5 call this E = quines wormtail / proximity / impossible / past / trailing reflective resonance / has just happened / hast 0%
pert 6 call this F = emerging consequences of past future events / did 0%
pert 7 call this G = unknown / impossible it is the inverse vanishing point of einsteins light cone 0%
pert 8 call this H = just past history / impossible / past / unlikely 0%

If he is too close to the event he will alter it based on his actions. so can we know d? Yes, but it is unlikely he would not alter the events, if he knew what the events were. Now if we knew events in d
what would we say? we would say certainly there are events but you would probably change them if you knew. so then although they are events, they are events that only may happen, due to their proximity,

```
f
if f then e
if d then c
if c then b
if b then a
if a then h
if h then g
f
```

now scientific give a backwards explanation for their answer: why did the wall fall down? the scientists will say because the foundation was bad. This is a backwards looking answer. the answer is in past true facts. only by using a different method of scientific method can we explain events in the future. why will tomorrow happen? Answer, because wherever we go, we take time and space with us. That is to say events in the future rely on their certainty by orselves realizing their facticity. Will it rain tomorrow? yes, but only if your facticity can prove its own existence in an intentional timespace stance that allows it to be experienced. Will it rain tomorrow? Its impossible to answer this question if you are not alive to justify my claim that it will. Will it rain tomorrow? After reviewing the question with common sense we then consult the crystal ball. Will it rain tomorrow? Rain is not an event dealing with humans. If you want me to predict the weather, then what is its relationship with the client? Will it rain tomorrow? Are we here to talk about you or the rain in rio? The rain is a pseudo event, a Meinong concern, as russel pointed out, rain only exists in a non-factual state, and does not really have a time space coordinate, but humans do. Will it marriage is an event in the client's future but only if it involves the client. and the client is not a meinong existent. there is a lot to remember. lets us go slow.

the jest is of course knowing how to speak the language. suppose you go to the dentist and say do I need a filling, the dentist will say, look at the x-ray, your molar has a cavity and could cause decay to the other teeth. what does this mean? Yes or no? Is the dentist slippy? An explanation of what he sees is translated into a factual answer which is backwards looking. is a future word "will" the dentist looks at the present facts. the dentist does not use common sense because the science of dentistry only revolves around detecting circumstance and conditions. The dentist will say these are the present facts (and now I have told you the facts they have become history). if you decide to have a filling, then it is likely to happen, if you decide to do it. do I need a filling / its a choice based on the facts / will I need a filling / yes if you chose to get one. this is not slippy, and it is not a jest. it is the language of careful consideration.

so we can say will i get married and we look into the crystal ball and detect an event, and we therefore legally allowed to say this there is an actual marriage event that is certain and you are involved. but only if the event becomes a probable event. and if it becomes a probable event, then it can/could happen, on the condition that no facts of what may happen in the immediate future are altered.

this is what we have to talk like, but it is to be tidied up.

yes you will get married but only if it becomes probable because if it becomes probable then it could happen, on the condition that no facts of what may happen in the immediate future are altered.

now some may think it is a jest, but everyone jests. whats wrong with my car, the axel bulb has a split loop. oh I see. what else, the split bulb needs a new transmission clamp and if this is fixed you're good to go.
so now you have had your question answered, do you want to fix it?

what's wrong with my car?
answer
do you want to fix it?

the first is a question of investigation
and cannot be answered in a yes no.

what's wrong with the car
answer
yes.

it is a bad mix a unformed question.
all questions must be well formed or they simply cannot be answerd.

before we go top sopeed on this matter a great deal more needs to be said and of course
we have to get a better vocabulary about the matter, remeber though whatever we say
describes a fact we can identify with certainty in the future. some also talk about diets and food
does that help the crystal ball agent. smoking mellow drugs is very romantic with silks and
costumes, why not. long pipes and turkish style drapes and stuff. but no drug changes the fact that we have
to reply with
but and food, tats later.

I have inclded another master diagram but it must be noted that it is CURVED and not straight and this is
why
we have to be careful about what we say.
The middle is the current state.
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